

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

STEVEN FELDMANN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,

Defendant.

2:06-CV-00218-JCM (GWF)

ORDER

Presently before the court is plaintiff Steven W. Feldmann's motion to change venue. (Doc. #4). Defendants the State of Nevada and Metropolitan P.D. did not file an opposition.

On February 24, 2006, plaintiff made a motion/application to proceed in forma pauperis, but did not attach a complaint as required. (Doc. #1). On March 20, 2006, the court entered an order dismissing the case without prejudice, holding that the plaintiff may file a new action with both a complaint and a new application to proceed in forma pauperis. (Doc. #2). Judgment was entered against the plaintiff on March 20, 2006. (Doc. #3).

It has been over four years since the court allowed the plaintiff to file a new action and another petition, yet he has failed to do so. In the present motion to change venue (doc. #4), plaintiff asks this court for a "change of venue" to the Western District of Texas. However, since judgment has been entered against plaintiff, the case was dismissed, and he failed to re-file a petition, the present motion is moot.

...


...

...

1 Accordingly,

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED ADJUDGED AND DECREED that plaintiff Steven W.
3 Feldmann's motion to change venue (doc. #4) be, and the same hereby is DENIED as moot.

4 DATED this 4th day of February, 2011.

5
6
7 
8 _____
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28